RL agents still lack some human skills

While RL agents can accomplish incredible things from reward alone, they still struggle with abstract, causal, and relational tasks that we consider key examples of human intelligence. Why?

Humans learn from language/explanation

Humans learn from language; in particular explanations, which link concrete situation to abstractions that are:
- Causal
- Generalizable to future situations

Could explanations help RL agents to learn and generalize?

Explanations help learn odd one out tasks

- Agents with explanations (purple) learn tasks well; agents without explanations (yellow) do not.
- In both 2D and 3D environments.

Explanations prevent fixation on shortcuts

- In 2D, agents trained without explanations learn the easy features, but fixate on these inadequate "shortcuts", and fail to learn harder ones.
- Agents trained with explanations learn the full structure.

Explanations allow OOD generalization from ambiguous training

What if training is ambiguous?
- Train where a single object is unique along all dimensions.
- Evaluate OOD a different object unique along each dimension.
- Without explanations, agent primarily uses easy feature.
- With single-dimension explanations, agent generalizes along that dimension.

Explanations allow agents to learn to perform causal experiments

Can agents discover causal structure for themselves?
- Meta-learning setting, where agent experiences four trials.
- It has a magic wand in first three trials that can transform objects.
- Agent has to experiment to discover which feature matters.
- Final trial is a deconfounded test with no wand (and high rewards).
- Explanations enable learning this challenging task.

Controls, baselines, etc.

Explanations outperform higher-information unsupervised losses.

Explanations that respond to agent's behavior are best.

Explanations as input are worse.

Task curricula are less effective.
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